A NEW δ -LACTONE FROM THE FLOWERS OF GREWIA ASIATICA

VIJAI LAKSHMI*, S. K. AGARWAL† and J. S. CHAUHAN Chemical Laboratories, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India

(Revised received 4 February 1976)

Key Word Index—Grewia asiatica; Tiliaceae; flowers; 3, 21, 24 trimethyl-5,7-dihydroxyhentriacontanoic acid δ-lactone.

Abstract—The structure of 3, 21, 24 trimethyl-5,7-dihydroxyhentriacontanoic acid δ -lactone, a new δ -lactone, isolated from the flowers of *Grewia asiatica* has been deduced on the basis of physico-chemical studies.

INTRODUCTION

Grewia asiatica (Tiliaceae) is extensively cultivated in various parts of India, flowering from January to April. It is also distributed in Asia, Africa, Australia, especially in tropical regions. Medicinally, the unripe fruit of the plant is bitter, acrid, sour, removes Vata and causes Kapha and biliousness. The ripe fruit is pleasant to taste, digestible, tonic, aphrodisiac and allays thirst and burn-

ing sensation. It is also useful in diarrhoea and fevers [1-3]. Previously, palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic acids, sitosterol have been isolated from its seeds [4]. The present investigation with *G. asiatica* flowers reports the isolation and structure elucidation of a new lactone.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The lactone (1), mp 70–71°, analysed for $C_{34}H_{66}O_3$. IR spectra and colour reactions for esters and lactones indicated that it was either an aliphatic (saturated) ester or lactone. Its PMR spectrum exhibited four Mes in the methylene and methine region (9.10 τ), and it formed a monoacetate $C_{36}H_{68}O_4$ mp 68°. Disappearance of the

*All correspondence should be made to the following address: National Botanic Gardens, Lucknow, India.

† Present address: Division of Medicinal Chemistry, C.D.R.I., Lucknow, India.

OH absorption in the IR and the appearance of a three proton singlet at 7.867 for acetyl and a 0.92 ppm shift for one methine proton indicated it to be a monohydroxy compound and the OH to be secondary. This was confirmed by forming a ketone (2), $C_{34}H_{64}O_3$ mp 56° by Oppenauer oxidation [5]. Reduction of 2 by the Huang-Minlon [6] modification of the Wolf-Kishner method yielded 3, C₃₄H₆₆O₂, mp 76°, which on LiAlH₄ reduction gave a diol 7, C₃₄H₇₀O₂, mp 86-8°. Oxidation of 3 as well as the diol 7 with alkaline KMnO₄ [10] produced the same two major acids. Acid 5, $C_{28}H_{56}O_2$, mp 52.4° exhibited IR absorption at 1700 cm⁻¹ and three Mes (9.05τ) in the PMR spectrum besides other peaks. It formed an amide and a Me ester whose MS fragmentation showed the presence of one Me on C-16 and C-19 and consequently the acid 5 was established as 16, 19-dimethylhexacosanoic acid. Acid 6, mp 84°, a dibasic acid was identified as β -methyl glutaric acid [7] (mmp., TLC. diamide formation). 3 with Na-Hg in acid solution yielded a monobasic acid 4 C₃₄H₆₈O₂, mp 51-2° which with CH₂N₂ gave a mono Me ester M⁺ at m/e 522. Its MS fragmentation [8] indicated the presence of three Me groups on C-3, C-21, C-24 establishing its structure as 3, 21, 24 trimethylhentriacontanoic acid. On this basis the structure for 3 and diol 7 were elucidated as 3, 21, 24-trimethylhentriacontanoic acid δ -lactone and 3, 21, 24-trimethylhentriacontane 1,5-diol respectively

LiAlH₄ reduction of 1 gave a triol 9 mp 92–3°. Alkaline KMnO₄ oxidation of 1 as well as triol 9 gave the same two acids 6 and 8, 14, 17-dimethyltetracosanoic acid [9] (amide mp 62°). Thus from the foregoing chemical and spectral studies it is evident that 1 is either 3, 21, 24-trimethyl-6-hydroxyhentriacontanoic acid δ -lactone or 3, 21, 24-trimethyl-7-hydroxyhentriacontanoic acid δ -lactone. Since the triol 9 is highly resistant to NaIO₄, it lacks vicinal OH groups and therefore the first possibility was ruled out. Hence the structures of triol 9 and 1 were established as 3, 21, 24-trimethylhentriacontane-1,5,7-triol and 3, 21, 24-trimethylhentriacontanoic acid δ -lactone.

EXPERIMENTAL

Isolation of lactone (1). Air dried flowers of Grewia asiatica (10 kg) was extracted with C₆H₆ and CHCl₃ which on concn. yielded a semi-solid yellow mass (100 g). This was resolved into Et₂O soluble and Et₂O-insoluble fractions. The former was treated with animal charcoal and was chromatographed over neutral Al₂O₃. Elution with C₆H₆-CHCl₃ (1:1) yielded a colourless solid which was fractionally crystallized as colourless needles (14.2 g), mp 70-71°, homogeneous by TLC in different solvents, $[\alpha]_D^{3\circ}$ -10.3(CHCl₃). IRV_{max}^{KBr}: 3450(OH), 2900, 2820, $1475(>CH_2)$, $1750(\delta$ -lactone), 1380(Me), 735 and 725 cm⁻¹. PMR(CDCl₃, τ): 9.10(12H, 4 × Me), 8.72(42H, $21 \times CH_2$), 8.54(3H, 3 × \equiv CH), 8.49(2H, $-CH_2$ CHO), 8.26(2H, O=CHCH₂), 6.72(2H, -CH₂COO), 6.12(1H, -CHO), 5.32(1H) and 4.92(1H, =CHOCO-). (Found: C, 74.24; H, 12.60; C-Me 10.82 C₃₄H₆₆O₃ requires: C, 74.33; H, 12.64; C-Me 11.49%). Acetylation with $C_5H_5N-Ac_2O$ afforded colourless flakes (1.5 g) mp 68°(MeOH), $[\alpha]_0^{2.5} - 13.4$ (CHCl₃) IRv_{max}^{KBT} : 2910, 2820, 1750(broad), 1480, 1870, 1080, 730 and 722 cm⁻¹. PMR (CDCl₃, τ): 9.10(12H, 4 × Me), 8.72(42H, 21 × CH₂), 8.54(3H, $3 \times \text{CH}$), 8.42(2H, $-\text{CH}_2\text{CHO}$), 8.22(2H, O=CHC $\underline{\text{H}}_2$), 7.86(3H, MeCOO), 6.70(2H, -CH₂COO), 5.20(1H, =CHO) and 4.90(1H, =CHOCO). (Found: C, 76.56; H, 12.10; C₃₆H₆₈O₄ requires: C, 76.60; H, 12.05%). Oppenauer oxidation of lactone (1) (10 g) gave a waxy product which on chromatography over neutral $Al_2O_3(C_6H_6-CHCl_3 \ 1:1)$ gave (2), mp 55-6°(MeOH-CHCl₃).

 IRv_{max}^{KBr} : 2910, 2840, 1750(δ -lactone), 1725(C=O, enhanced), 1460, 1385, 1140 and 720 cm⁻¹. (Found: C, 78.26; H, 12.15; C-Me 11.04 $C_{34}H_{64}O_3$ requires: C, 78.46; H, 12.30; 4 × C-Me 11.54%). Formed 2, 4 DNP derivative mp 125-6°(MeOH) and an oxime, mp 68-9°(EtOH) (Found: C, 78.46; H, 11.08; N, 4.48 C₄₀H₇₀O₂N₂ requires: C, 78.69; H, 11.47; N, 4.59%). Reduction of 2 (4.50 g) by Huang-Minlon modification of the Wolff-Kishner reduction method with hydrazine hydrate and KOH in ethylene glycol yielded a syrupy product which was purified on Al₂O₃(CHCl₃) to afford colourless flakes 3 (3.33 g), mp 75–6°(MeOH–CHCl₃) $[\alpha]_0^{30} = -7.40$ (CHCl₃). IR ν_{max}^{KBT} 2925, 2860, 1750, 1460, 1375, 1180, 730 and 725 cm⁻¹. PMR(CDCl₃, τ): 9.15(12H), 8.76(46H), 8.48(3H), 8.30(2H), 6.62(2H) and 4.90(1H). (Found: C, 80.46; H, 13.08; C-Me 11.20 $C_{34}H_{66}O_2$ requires: C, 80.63; H, 13.04; C-Me 11.85%). 3 (2.2 g) on treatment with Na-Hg in acid soln, usual work up and purification on Si gel(MeOH-CHCl3) yielded waxy flakes mp $51-2^{\circ}(MeOH)$, $[\alpha]_{D}^{30}$ +14.2°(CHCl₃). 3250-3200(broad, OH), 2920, 2850, 2725, 1710(COOH), 1460, 1380, 1260, 1130 and 720 cm⁻¹. (Found: C, 80.22; H, 13.40; C-Me 11.84 C₃₄H₆₈O₂ requires: C, 80.32; H, 13.38; C-Me, 11.80%). Formed an amide, mp 84-5°(aq. MeOH). (Found: C, 80.34; H, 13.45; N, 2.64 C₃₄H₆₉ON requires C, 80.47; H, 13.61; N, 2.76%). Me ester, mp $28-30^{\circ}(at \ 0^{\circ})$. MS(m/e): 522(M⁺), 493, 491, 423, 367, 199, 185, 171, 153, 143, 129, 127, 115, 101, 99, 74 and 56. 3 (0.8 g) was oxidized with 50 ml 10% KMnO₄ and 20 ml 12% KOH for ca 2 hr at 50° and the two products 5 and 6 were isolated in usual way [10]. 5 (H₂O insol) was purified over Si gel, mp 52.4°(MeOH), $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ $+15.4^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃). IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{KBr}}$: 3170(OH), 2920, 2850, 2710, 1700(COOH), 1460, 1375, 1245, 1140 and 725 cm⁻¹. PMR(CDCl₃, τ): 9.05(9H), 8.76(4OH), 8.42(2H), 8.22(2H, $CH_2CH_2COOH)$, 7.52(2H, $-CH_2COOH)$ and 0.86(1H, COOH). (Found: C, 80.09, H, 12.56; C-Me 10.20 $C_{28}H_{56}O_2$ requires: C, 80.18; H, 12.61, 3 × C-Me 10.61%). Formed an amide mp 98-99°(aq. MeOH) (Found: C, 79.35; H, 13.38; N, 3.22 C₂₈H₅₇ON requires C, 79.43; H, 13.47; N, 3.30%). Me ester, mp 35-6°. MS(m/e): 438(M⁺), 409, 407, 381, 365, 339, 283, 227, 213, 143, 129, 127, 115, 99, 87 and 74. 6 (H₂O sol). mp 84°(C₆H₆) was recovered by Et₂O extraction of the aq. reaction mixture and purification on Si gel. (Found: C, 49.16; H, 6.76 C₆H₁₀O₄ requires: C, 49.31; H, 6.85%), amide mp 169-70°. It was identified as β-methyl glutaric acid (mmp and TLC with an authentic sample). LiAlH₄ reduction of 3 (0.5 g) gave a product which on purification over Al_2O_3 gave diol 7, mp 86–8°(MeOH), $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –10.2°(CHCl₃). IRv_{MS}: 3550 cm⁻¹(OH) (Found: C, 80.11; H, 13.64; C-Me, 11.54 C₃₄H₇₀O₂ requires: C, 80.00; H, 13.72; 4 × C-Me 11.76%). Formed diacetate(Ac₂O-NaOAc), mp 76-7°(MeOH-CHCl₃). (Found: C, 76.66; H, 12.45; acetyl, 13.06 C₃₈H₇₄O₄ requires: C, 76.76; H, 12.45; 2 × acetyl, 14.48%). Alkaline KMnO₄ oxidation of the diol 7 (100 mg) and usual work up gave 5 and 6 in major yields (mmp, TLC). Lactone 1 (0.85 g) was oxidized by alkaline KMnO₄ and the oxidation products 6 (H₂O sol) and 8 (H₂O insol) were isolated and purified as above. 8, a syrupy mass $[\alpha]_{D}^{30} + 44.6^{\circ}(CHCl_{3})$. IRv_{max}^{KBr} : 1705 cm⁻¹(COOH). (Found: C, 78.64; H, 13.35; C-Me 10.90 C₂₆H₅₂O₂ requires: C, 78.78; H, 13.32; $3 \times \text{C-Me}$, 11.30%). Formed an amide mp 63-4°(aq. EtOH) (Found: 78.98; H, 13.42; N, 3.54 C₂₆H₅₃ON requires: C, 78.98; H, 13.42; N, 3.54%), Me ester, mp. 30°(MeOH-CHCl₃). Ms(m/e): 410(M⁺), 379, 353, 339, 311, 255, 183, 127, 99 and 88. 6, mp 84°(C₆H₆). LiAlH₄ reduction of lactone 1 (0.85 g) gave a product which was purified by chromatography on Si gel to yield a triol 9, needles mp 93°(EtOH–CHCl₃), $[\alpha]_{30}^{10}$ –12.2°(CHCl₃). IR ν_{max}^{KB} : 3520 cm⁻¹(OH) (Found: C, 77.42; H, 13.22; C-Me, 10.74 C₃₄H₇₀O₃ requires: C, 77.57; H, 13,31; 4 × C-Me, 11.40%), Formed triacetate mp 80^{-1} °(EtOH) (Found: C, 73.54; H, 11.45, acetyl 18.69 $C_{40}H_{76}O_6$ requires: C, 73.62; H, 11.66; 3 × acetyl 19.73%). The triol 9 (150 mg) was oxidized by alkaline KMnO₄ and the oxidation products were similarly isolated as H₂O insoluble and soluble portions, purified, crystallized and identified as 8 and 6 respectively (mmp, TLC).

Acknowledgements-The authors are thankful to Dr. T. R. Govindachari, Director, CIBA Research Centre, Bombay and Prof. M. V. Bhatt of Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore for spectra and elemental analyses and also the SCSIR, Lucknow, for financial assistance to one of the authors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kirtikar, K. R. and Basu, B. D. (1935) Indian Medicinal Plants 1, 338.
- 2. Chopra, R. N., Nayar, S. L. and Chopra, I. C. (1956) Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants (CSIR publication, New Delhi), 128.
- 3. (1957) Wealth of India, Industrial Products (CSIR publication, New Delhi, India), Vol. IV, 184.

- 4. Ahmad, M. N., Zahid, N. D., Rafiq, M. and Ahmad, I. (1964) Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res. 7, 145.
- 5. Djerassi, C. (1951) The Oppenauer oxidation, in Organic Reactions (Adams, R., ed.), Vol. VI. Wiley, New York.
- 6. Huang-Minlon (1946) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 68, 2487.
- 7. Heilbron, I. and Bunbury, H. M. (1953) Dictionary of Organic Compounds 3, 402.
- 8. Budzikiewiez, H., Djerassi, C. and Williams, H. (1964) Interpretation of Mass Spectra of Organic Compounds, San Francisco Holden-Day, p. 174.
- 9. Markley, K. S. (1960) Fatty Acids, Vol. 1, p. 68. Inter-
- science, New York.

 10. Varshney, S. C., Rizvi, S. A. I. and Gupta, P. C. (1973) Planta Med. 363.